

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexadria, Vinginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/686,997 10/12/2000 Olivier De Lacharriere 196726US0 5836 22850 7590 10/07/2003 EXAMINER OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. WANG, SHENGJUN 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1617

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED: 10/9/03
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
DOCKETING DEPT 344 10/9/02
Initials/Date Dockered
Type of Resp(s): 17/04

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/686,997	DE LACHARRIERE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shengjun Wang	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second of the secon	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin arido will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute. cause the application to become AF	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. AANDONED (35 U S C & 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	April 15. & July 21. 2003	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims	lowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	ading in the continution	
4) Claim(s) 12,13,16-24 and 27-45 is/are per		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-45</u> is/are with5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	orawn from consideration.	
	.	
6) Claim(s) <u>12,13, 16-24, 27-33</u> is/are rejecte	a.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No
Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has be	een received.
Attachment(s)	, , ,	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO-1449) Paper Not	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 18

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 36-45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 17.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of invention group II in Paper No. 17 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it has not been established that inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together. This is not found persuasive because the inventions have distinct functions and applications as stated in the prior office action. It is not seen how these inventions may be use together, e.g., for person without abnormal pigmentation.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 3. As to the species election, prior art reveals that the difference in structures of the compounds herein does not make them distinct from each other since they all have the basic structure and the same biological function. Therefore, applicants' arguments are persuasive and the species election is herein withdrawn.
- 4. The terminal disclaimer filed on April 15 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent—granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,486,147 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

X

Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

- 6. Claims 12, 13, 16-24, and 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claims 12 and 23 are rejected for being confusing due to the recitations of "5-androstene-7. 3β , 17β -diol sulfate" and "5-androstenediol sulfate." These two phrases denote the same compound (s). These recitations are confusing for reasons as stated in the prior office action.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 10. 2

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 12, 13, 16-18, 23-24, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Orentreich (IDS).

Orentriech teach a method of treating skin condition by applying to the skin a composition comprising about 1% of DHEA or its derivatives and a keratolytic agent. See, particularly, the abstract, the examples, and the claims. Such treatment would have inherently lightening the skin or pro-pigmenting superficial body growths. Applicants' attention is directed to Ex parte Novitski, 26 USPQ2d 1389 (BOPA 1993) illustrating anticipation resulting from inherent use, absent a haec verba recitation for such utility. In the instant application, as in Ex parte Novitski, supra, the claims are directed to depigmentation or lightening of skin with old and well known cosmetic compositions. It is now well settled law that administering compounds

Application/Control Number: 09/686,997

Art Unit: 1617

inherently possessing a therapeutic utility anticipates claims directed to such therapeutic use.

Page 4

Arguments that such therapeutic use is not set forth haec verba are not probative. Prior use for

the same utility clearly anticipates such utility, absent limitations distancing the proffered claims

from the inherent anticipated use. Attempts to distance claims from anticipated utilities with

specification limitations will not be successful. At page 1391, Ex parte Novitski, supra, the

Board said "We are mindful that, during the patent examination, pending claims must be

interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 13 USPQ2d

1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989). As often stated by the CCPA, "we will not read into claims in pending

applications limitations from the specification." In re Winkhaus, 52 F.2d 637, 188 USPQ 219

(CCPA 1975).". In the instant application, Applicants' failure to distance the proffered claims

from the anticipated therapeutic utility, renders such claims anticipated by the prior inherent use.

10. Claims 12, 13, 16-18, 23-24, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Rosenbaum (US Patent 5,869,090).

Rosenbuam teaches a method of treating skin conditions by applying a composition

comprising about 1% of DHEA to the skin. See, particularly, the abstract, examples 9-13 and

claims 19 and 25. As discussed above, such treatment would have inherently lightening the skin

or propigmenting superficial body growths.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted April 15, 2003 have been fully

considered, but are moot in view of the new ground rejections.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,997

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Patent Examiner

Shengjun Wang

October 6, 2003

Page 5